

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

To:

Larry E. Henneman, Jr.
Henneman & Associates, PLC
714 W. Michigan Ave.
Three Rivers, MI 49093

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND
THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

05 MAY 2008

Applicant's or agent's file reference
0057-014PCT

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

International application No.
PCT/US 07/04080

International filing date
(day/month/year) 16 February 2007 (16.02.2007)

Applicant Technology Properties Limited

1. ☒ The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):

When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.

Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: +41 22 740 14 35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

2. ☐ The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. ☐ With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
- ☐ the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
- ☐ no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

Authorized officer:

Lee W. Young

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300
PCT OSP: 571-272-7774

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0057-014PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/US 07/04080	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 16 February 2007 (16.02.2007)	(Earliest) Priority Date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 16 February 2006 (16.02.2006)
Applicant Technology Properties Limited		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

☐ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the report

a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed.
☐ a translation of the international application into _____ which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

b. ☐ This international search report has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6bis(a)).

c. ☐ With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.

2. ☐ Certain claims were found unsearchable (see Box No. II).

3. ☒ Unity of invention is lacking (see Box No. III).

4. With regard to the title,

- ☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
☐ the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the abstract,

- ☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.
☐ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. With regard to the drawings,

- a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 5
☐ as suggested by the applicant.
☐ as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.
☒ as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.
- b. ☐ none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 07/04080

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Group 1, claims 1-11; Group 2, claims 12-23.

Group 1 requires the special technical feature of a sequencer providing a pulse to advance from a presently executed instruction to a next instruction, said instruction includes a bit for providing an input to the sequencer such that the sequencer is triggered into action when the bit is set, not required by Group 2.

Group 2 requires the special technical feature of causing a computer to stop operation when a communication is attempted with an outside entity and causing the computer to resume operation when completion of the communication with the outside entity is acknowledged or completed, not required by Group 1.

None of these special technical features are common to the other groups, nor do they correspond to a special technical feature in the other groups. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking under PCT Rule 13.1.

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Claims 1-11.

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 07/04080

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(8) - G06F 1/00 (2008.01)

USPC - 713/323

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
USPC - 713/323Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched
USPC - 713/300, 320

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

PubWEST (USPT, PGPB, EPAB, JPAB); DialogPRO (Engineering); Google Scholar

Search Terms: sequencer or microsequencer, pulse, generate, next or subsequent, instruction or operation, trigger, bit, input, status

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 4,589,067 A (PORTER et al.) 13 May 1986 (13.05.1986); entire document, especially col 3, ln 20-34, 52-54; col 8, ln 54-61	1-11
Y	US 6,178,525 B1 (WARREN) 23 January 2001 (23.01.2001); entire document, especially col 3, ln 21-28; col 6, ln 36-44; col 19, ln 8-19; col 20, ln 16-18, 61-65; col 22, ln 29-31; col 23, ln 18-26	1-11
A	US 5,692,197 A (NARAD et al.) 25 November 1997 (25.11.1997)	1-11

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

07 March 2008 (07.03.2008)

Date of mailing of the international search report

05 MAY 2008

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

Authorized officer:

Lee W. Young

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300

PCT OSP: 571-272-7774

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To: Larry E. Henneman, Jr.
Henneman & Associates, PLC
714 W. Michigan Ave.
Three Rivers, MI 49093

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) **05 MAY 2008**

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0057-014PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/US 07/04080	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 February 2007 (16.02.2007)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 February 2006 (16.02.2006)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(8) - G06F 1/00 (2008.01) USPC - 713/323			
Applicant Technology Properties Limited			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☒ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. 571-273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion 07 March 2008 (07.03.2008)	Authorized officer: Lee W. Young PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774
---	---	--

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 07/04080

Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed.
☐ a translation of the international application into _____ which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. ☐ This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ on paper
☐ in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in the international application as filed
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 07/04080

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1. ☒ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
- ☐ paid additional fees
 - ☐ paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
 - ☐ paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
 - ☒ not paid additional fees
2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
- ☐ complied with
 - ☒ not complied with for the following reasons:

Group 1, claims 1-11;
Group 2, claims 12-23.

Group 1 requires the special technical feature of a sequencer providing a pulse to advance from a presently executed instruction to a next instruction, said instruction includes a bit for providing an input to the sequencer such that the sequencer is triggered into action when the bit is set, not required by Group 2.

Group 2 requires the special technical feature of causing a computer to stop operation when a communication is attempted with an outside entity and causing the computer to resume operation when completion of the communication with the outside entity is acknowledged or completed, not required by Group 1.

None of these special technical features are common to the other groups, nor do they correspond to a special technical feature in the other groups. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking under PCT Rule 13.1.

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
- ☐ all parts
 - ☒ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-11

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US 07/04080

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims	None	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	None	YES
	Claims	1-11	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims	None	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-11 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over US 4,589,067 A to Porter et al. (hereinafter 'Porter') in view of US 6,178,525 B1 (Warren).

As per claim 1, Porter discloses a computer comprising: a processor for executing instructions from an instruction area (see col 3, ln 20-34); and a sequencer for providing a pulse to advance from a presently executed instruction to a next instruction (see col 3, ln 52-54; and col 8, ln 54-59).

Porter does not specifically disclose that said instruction includes a bit for providing an input to the sequencer such that the sequencer is triggered into action when the bit is set.

Warren discloses a computer comprising: a processor for executing instructions from an instruction area (see col 3, ln 21-28); and a sequencer to advance to a next instruction (see col 20, ln 16-18, 61-65); wherein the instruction includes a bit for providing an input to the sequencer such that the sequencer is triggered into action when the bit is set (see col 23, ln 18-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Porter and Warren because both disclose a computer comprising a processor for executing instructions from an instruction area; and a sequencer to advance to a next instruction. Warren's disclosure of the instruction including a bit for providing an input to the sequencer such that the sequencer is triggered into action when the bit is set efficiently executes additional computations while conserving power.

As per claim 2, Warren further discloses that the bit is set when it is a '1' (see col 22, ln 29-31).

As per claim 3, Porter further discloses that the instructions are part of a larger instruction word (see col 8, ln 59-61).

As per claim 4, Warren further discloses that when said bit for providing an input to the sequencer is not set then the sequencer is not triggered into action (see col 23, ln 19-25).

As per claim 5, Warren further discloses that when said bit for providing an input to the sequencer is not set then a first communications status line is set between the computer and a second computer (see col 6, ln 36-40).

As per claims 6 and 7, Warren further discloses that said first communications status line is a write line or a read line (see col 6, ln 38).

As per claim 8, Warren further discloses that when a second communications status line is set then data is transferred between the computer and second computer (see col 6, ln 39-44).

As per claim 9, Warren further discloses that data is transferred from the computer to the second computer (see col 6, ln 39-44).

As per claim 10, Warren further discloses that the data includes an instruction (see col 19, ln 8-19).

As per claim 11, Warren further discloses when the second communications status line is set then an input is provided to the sequencer such that the sequencer is triggered into action (see col 23, ln 18-26).

Claims 1-11 have industrial applicability as defined by PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter can be made or used in industry.